		İ
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	David R. Markham (SBN 071814) dmarkham@markham-law.com Peggy Reali (SBN 153102) preali@markham-law.com Janine Menhennet (SBN 163501) jmenhennet@markham-law.com Maggie K. Realin (SBN 263639) mrealin@markham-law.com THE MARKHAM LAW FIRM 750 B Street, Suite 1950 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 399-3995 Fax: (619) 615-2067	
8	Attorneys for Deserae Ryan and Trent Rau	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISCTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
13	In re: High Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation)	MASTER DOC. NO. 11-CV-2509-LHK
14	This document relates to:	CASE NO.: 5:14-cv-04634-EJD
15	ALL ACTIONS)	
16)	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
17 18	DESERAE RYAN, and TRENT RAU, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated;	CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
19) Plaintiffs)	
20	vs.	
21	MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington)	
22	corporation;)	
23	Defendants.	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES	

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER CASES SHOULD BE RELATED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related has come before this Court. The time for filing an opposition or restatement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation, 11-cv-2509 (which is the earliest filed case), I find that the more recently filed case of Ryan v. Microsoft Corporation, No. 5:14-cv-04634-EJD is related to the case assigned to me, and such case shall be reassigned to me. **ORDER** Counsel for all parties in *In re: High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litigation* and in *Ryan v*. Microsoft Corporation are instructed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials of the newly assigned judge immediately after the case number. Any case management conference in any reassigned case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the dates for the conference, disclosures and reports required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and 26 12 13 accordingly. Unless otherwise ordered, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be renoticed by the moving party before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the 14 ADR Local Rules remain in effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order 16 continue to govern, except dates for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned judge. 17 18 19 Date Hon. Lucy H. Koh 20 JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 26 [PROPOSED] ORDER ON ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER

CASES SHOULD BE RELATED